Case 4:22-cv-01490-JST Document 270 Filed 02/26/24 Page 1 of 4

1	Rachael D. Lamkin (SBN 246066) Karan Singh Dhadialla (SBN 296313) BAKER BOTTS L.L.P.			
2				
3	101 California Street, Suite 3200 San Francisco, California 94111 Phone: (415) 291-6200 Fax: (415) 291-6300 rachael.lamkin@bakerbotts.com karan.dhadialla@bakerbotts.com			
4				
5				
6	Attorneys for Defendant, NETFLIX, INC. Additional counsel listed on signature page			
7				
8				
9				
10	UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA			
11				
12	OAKLAND DIVISION			
13				
14	LAURI VALJAKKA,	Case No.	4:22-cv-01490-JST	
15	Plaintiff,	DEFENDANT NETFLIX, INC.'S		
	v.		STRATIVE MOTION FOR	
16	NETFLIX, INC.,	DISCOV	ICATION REGARDING RULE 45 ERY	
17	Defendant.	Judge:	Hon. Jon S. Tigar	
18				
19				
20				
21				
22				
23				
24				
25				
26				
27				
28				

11

14

13

16

15

17 18

19

20 21

22

23 24

25

26

2.7 28

On November 6, 2023, Netflix moved (i) for an Order To Show Cause Why Valjakka And AiPi Should Not Be Sanctioned for violating this Court's Preliminary Injunction, and (ii) for joinder of AiPi under Federal Rule of Civil Procedure 19. Dkt. Nos. 216, 217. On November 24, 2023, AiPi opposed Netflix's joinder motion, representing to this Court:

"AiPi as a third party, will comply fully with the PI and is ready and willing to comply with any discovery requests directed thereto." Lund Decl., Dkt. No. 233-1, ¶ 27.

"AiPi as a third party, will comply fully with the PI and is ready and willing to comply with any discovery requests directed thereto." Opp., Dkt. No. 233, 3:5-7.

"AiPi has on numerous occasions expressed complete willingness to comply with any and all discovery requests, if only Netflix's counsel would ask instead of filing motions to join." *Id.*, at 9:16-18.

On January 5, 2024, this Court vacated the hearing on Netflix's two motions finding "the matter suitable for disposition without oral argument." Dkt. No. 256. On January 18, 23, and 25, Netflix served AiPi with Rule 45 discovery, via email, upon AiPi's counsel of record, and upon AiPi itself, respectively. Dkt. No. 261.

Even though AiPi repeatedly told this Court it would "comply fully" with Rule 45 discovery, on January 31, 2024, AiPi moved to quash Netflix's Rule 45 subpoena in the Eastern District of Virginia. Dkt. No. 264. On February 13, 2024, Netflix opposed AiPi's motion to quash. Lamkin Decl., Exh A. In the February 23, 2024 hearing on AiPi's motion to quash, Magistrate Judge Fitzpatrick granted AiPi's motion, finding that he did not have authority to allow discovery because, in his view, discovery was closed in this matter. Lamkin Decl., Exh. B, at 11-12; 15:21-16:9. Magistrate Judge Fitzpatrick asked Netflix to seek clarification from this Court as to whether Rule 45 discovery could be served upon AiPi. *Id*.

Thus, Netflix files this administrative motion seeking clarification from this Court on whether Netflix may serve Rule 45 discovery upon AiPi. Netflix believes Rule 45 discovery is warranted. Netflix needs discovery over AiPi to ascertain whether AiPi is in compliance with this

Case 4:22-cv-01490-JST Document 270 Filed 02/26/24 Page 3 of 4

1	Court's preliminary injunction order and the extent of AiPi's liability to Netflix. The trial in this			
2	matter is set for September 2024 and discovery now will not delay said trial (as long as AiPi responds			
3	without undue delay). Plaintiff Lauri Valjakka does not oppose this motion.			
4	William diade delay). I familia Educ	r varjanna does not oppose ans motion		
5				
6	Dated: February 26, 2024	Respectfully submitted,		
7		/s/ Rachael D. Lamkin		
8		Rachael D. Lamkin (SBN 246066) Karan Singh Dhadialla (SBN 296313)		
9		BAKER BOTTS L.L.P.		
10		101 California Street, Suite 3200 San Francisco, CA 94111		
11		Tel: (415) 291-6200		
12		Fax: (415) 291-6300 rachael.lamkin@bakerbotts.com		
13		karan.dhadialla@bakerbotts.com		
		Sarah E. Piepmeier, Bar No. 227094		
14		SPiepmeier@perkinscoie.com Elise S. Edlin, Bar No. 293756		
15		EEdlin@perkinscoie.com		
16		PERKINS COIE LLP 505 Howard Street, Suite 1000		
17		San Francisco, California 94105		
		Telephone: +1.415.344.7000		
18		Facsimile: +1.415.344.7050		
19		Janice L. Ta (appearance pro hac vice)		
20		JTa@perkinscoie.com		
21		PERKINS COIE LLP 405 Colorado Street Suite 1700		
21		Austin, Texas 78701		
22		Telephone: +1.737.256.6100		
23		Facsimile: +1.737.256.6300		
24		Jassiem N. Moore (appearance pro hac vice)		
25		JassiemMoore@perkinscoie.com PERKINS COIE LLP		
26		1201 Third Avenue, Suite 4900		
		Seattle, Washington 98101-3099 Telephone: +1.206.359.8000		
27		Facsimile: +1.206.359.9000		
28		Brianna Kadjo, Bar No. 303336		

Case 4:22-cv-01490-JST Document 270 Filed 02/26/24 Page 4 of 4

1	BKadjo@perkinscoie.com PERKINS COIE LLP
2	1900 Sixteenth Street, Suite 1400
3	Denver, Colorado 80202-5255 Telephone: +1.303.291.2300
4	Facsimile: +1.303.291.2400
5	Attorneys for Defendant, NETFLIX, INC.
6	
7	
8	
9	
10	
11	
12	
13	
14	
15	
16	
17	
18	
19	
20	
21	
22	
23	
24	
25	
26	
27	
28	